19th March 1948] [Sri B. Gopala Reddi]

from Charged to Voted items and *vice versa*. It has therefore become necessary to make a supplementary demand under almost every grant. I would also draw the attention of the hon. Members to the fact that savings available under one Grant cannot be reappropriated to meet additional expenditure under another Grant. The position in regard to the total appropriation is now as follows :—

	Revenue account.		Other expenditure.	
	Charged.	Voted.	Charged.	Voted.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
	LAKHS.	LAKHS.	LAKHS.	LAKHS.
Original appropriation as in the budget for 1947–48).	375·96	4753·53	1·03	1079·64
Revised estimate for 1947–48.	405·87	5325·03	0·80	1481·19

The variations between the Budget Estimate for 1947–48 and the Revised Estimate for 1947–48 have been fully explained in my budget speech and in the Financial Secretary's Explanatory Memorandum on the Budget for 1948–49. The final appropriation and the present supplementary demands for grants take into account factors which have come to notice after the Revised Estimates for 1947–48 were fixed in January last and new sanctions.

"The explanatory note under each Grant gives the reasons necessitating the additional appropriation in each case. It will be seen that apart from the reclassification of Charged expenditure as Voted which I have already mentioned, one general reason for the increased appropriation asked for is the revision of the pay and allowances of non-gazetted Government servants. Though the revision of pay and allowances took effect from the 1st January 1947, the pay of the individuals in the revised scale in almost all cases was fixed and the enhanced pay including arrears was drawn only in the course of this year. The lump sum provision made in the budget for the current year for the revision of the salaries and allowances of non-gazetted Government servants has therefore proved to be inadequate. I hope that these general observations of mine together with the explanatory note under each Grant will make the position clear to the House."

V.—GOVERNMENT BILLS.

(1) THE MADRAS ALIYASANTANA BILL, 1947 (L.C. BILL NO. I OF 1948).

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" Sir, I move that—

'*under rule* 80 *of the Madras Council Rules read with rule* 111 (7) *thereof, the leave of the House be granted to*

[Sri K. Madhava Menon] [19th March 1948

the Joint Select Committee appointed to consider the Madras Aliyasanthana Bill, 1947 (*L.C. Bill No. 1 of* 1948) *to hold its sittings at Mangalore and Udipi whenever the Committee thinks it necessary to do so.'*

After the Select Committee met for the first time, we received a number of applications from persons for being examined and for giving evidence on this Bill. The Committee thought that, instead of asking all the witnesses to come to Madras, it would be easier for the Members of the Select Committee to have the Select Committee meetings at Mangalore and Udipi. It will suit the convenience of the Members of the Select Committee also as they are all from South Kanara. A similar motion was moved in the Assembly and approved by it. I move my motion here also."

MR. CHAIRMAN :—" The question is that—

'*under rule* 80 *of the Madras Council Rules read with rule* 111 (7) *thereof, the leave of the House be granted to the Joint Select Committee appointed to consider the Madras Aliyasanthana Bill* 1947 (*L.C. Bill No. I of* 1948) *to hold its sittings at Mangalore and Udipi whenever the Committee thinks it necessary to do so* '."

SRI D. MANJAYYA HEGDE :—" Sir, I support this motion. I want to bring to the notice of the Hon. Minister in charge of the Bill the Government should not make unnecessary delay. I do not know why Mangalore and Udipi taluks alone were selected for giving evidence. Other taluks also may like to give evidence. Anyhow I am supporting the Bill. The Bill has been before the public for a long time. Therefore, I do not think that we should spend much time in taking evidence since there were much criticisms, comments and suggestions."

THE HON. DR. P. SUBBARAYAN :—" Sir, I do not think that it is the intention of the Committee to go to many places and take evidence. There has been contention on the part of people who come under this system of law, that they should have their say before any decision is come to. Instead of asking witnesses to come here from 550 miles, the Committee is going to the district. Two places have been selected. Knowing the geographical position of the district, I think we can ask people who want to give evidence, to come to these two places."

THE HON. SRI K. MADHAVA MENON :—" Sir, my Hon. Colleague has stated the reasons why the Select Committee have decided to take evidence in Mangalore and Udipi. We have decided to take evidence on the 2nd, 3rd and 4th April. There will be no delay in the matter at all. We propose to prepare the report and submit it as early as possible. There will be no difficulty at all."

The motion was put and carried.